

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/676,565	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BECKER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SUDHANSHU C. PATHAK	<b>Art Unit</b> 2611	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) SUDHANSHU C. PATHAK.

(2) Mark C. Van Ness.

**Date of Interview:** 25 March 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
No.

Claims discussed:  
All independent Claims, specifically Claims 1 & 2.

Prior art documents discussed:  
No.

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
Discussed amendment to Claims 1 & 2 so as to make claims allowable over Prior Art. Further amend all independent claims as per claim 1 amendment.

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** afternoon

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)